

7

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/659,046 | | 06/03/1996 | PETER BAUER | 8932-309 | 3843 |
| 20582 | 7590 | 10/16/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| PENNIE & | | | DEXTER, O | DEXTER, CLARK F | |
| 1667 K STR SUITE 1000 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | TON, DC | 20006 | 3724 | 1/4 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003 | 3752 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· C.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/659,046

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Clark F. Dexter

3724

Bauer et al.



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | for Reply | | | 1 | | | | |
| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | TO EXPIRE | 3 | _ MONTH(S) FROM | | | | |
| - Extensi | - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | | | | |
| - If the p | mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | | | | | | | |
| - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | | | |
| - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | · · | | | | |
| _ | | | | ··· | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti | ion is non-final | • | I | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposif | tion of Claims | | | l | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) 20, 22-28, and 41-50 | | | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>20, 22-28, and 41-50</u> | | | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are | subject | to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) 🗆 accepte | d or b)[| \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the de | Irawing(s) be he | ld in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: | : a) □ <i>a</i> | approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | to this Office ac | tion. | ! | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | 1 | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | 1 | | | | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | riority under 35 | ن U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) 💢 | a) ☑ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | | | | |
| • | 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been receive | d in App | olication No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| | *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachme | ent(s) ptice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔽 🛶 | : /DT/ | 5.4400 D No.43 | | | | |
| | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | ~ | | 0-413) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |
| ٠, المارة | | o, L oak. | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 08/659,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed September 15, 2003 has been entered. The indicated allowability of claims 20, 22-28 and 41-50 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references, particularly Murphy et al. and DuBois. Rejections based on the newly cited references follow. Any inconvenience caused by this action is sincerely regretted. Because the rejections were not necessitated by applicant's amendment, this Office action is being made **non-final**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 20, 22-28 and 41-47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Murphy et al., pn 2,502,582.
- 4. Claims 20, 22-24, 26-28, 41 and 43-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DuBois, pn 2,704,888.

. .

Art Unit: 3724

Remarks

5. It is noted that the discovery of the references now made of record including those now used in the prior art rejections occurred after the telephone interview of October 3, 2003.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers Technology Center 3700 are: after-final responses - (703)872-9303; other formal/official papers - (703)872-9302. The fax number for informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Page 3

Art Unit 3724

cfd

October 14, 2003